



2137

PATENT
Attorney Docket No. 215245
Client Reference: 300024.01

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

SWANDER et al.

Group Art Unit: 2137

Application No. 10/056,889

Examiner: CALDWELL, Andrew T.

Filing Date: January 25, 2002

For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR
FRAGMENTING AND REASSEMBLING
INTERNET KEY EXCHANGE DATA
PACKETS

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.97 and 1.98, the references listed on the enclosed Form PTO-1449 and/or Substitute Form PTO-1449 ("Form 1449") are submitted for consideration by the Examiner in the examination of the above-identified patent application.

The full consideration of the references in their entirety by the Examiner is respectfully requested and encouraged. Also, it is respectfully requested that the references be entered into the record of the present application and that the Examiner place his or her initials in the appropriate area on the enclosed Form 1449, thereby indicating the Examiner's consideration of each of the references.

The submission of the references listed on the Form 1449 is for the purpose of providing a complete record and is not a concession that any reference listed thereon is prior art to the invention claimed in the patent application. The right is expressly reserved to establish an invention date earlier than the above-identified filing date in order to remove any reference submitted herewith as prior art should it be deemed appropriate to do so.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8			
I hereby certify that this Information Disclosure Statement, including any documents or materials referred to thereon on being attached or enclosed, is, on the date indicated below,			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or			
<input type="checkbox"/> being facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.			
Name (Print/Type)	Peter J. Phillips		
Signature	Peter J. Phillips	Date	May 11, 2005

In re Appln. of SWANDER et al.
Application No. 10/056,889

Further, the submission of the references is not to be taken as a concession that they represent art that is relevant or analogous to the claimed invention. Accordingly, the right to argue that the references are not properly within the scope of prior art relevant to an examination of the claims in the above-identified application is also expressly reserved.

The Information Disclosure Statement is being filed:

- ☒ **within** any one of the following time periods: (a) within three months of the filing date of a national application other than a continued prosecution application under 37 CFR 1.53(d); (b) within three months of the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in 37 CFR 1.491 of an international application; (c) before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits; or (d) before the mailing of a first Office Action after the filing of a request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114.
- ☐ **after** (a), (b), (c) or (d) above, but before the mailing date of a final action under 37 CFR 1.113, a Notice of Allowance under 37 CFR 1.311, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and includes *one* of:
- ☐ the Statement under 37 CFR 1.97(e) (see "Statement under 37 CFR 1.97(e)" below).
- or*
- ☐ the fee of \$180 set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) (see "Fees" below).
- ☐ **after** the mailing date of a final action under 37 CFR 1.113 or a Notice of Allowance under 37 CFR 1.311, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and on or before payment of the issue fee, and includes the Statement under 37 CFR 1.97(e) (see "Statement under 37 CFR 1.97(e)" below), and the fee of \$180 as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) (see "Fees" below).
- ☐ **after** the mailing date of a Notice of Allowance under 37 CFR 1.311, and on or before payment of the issue fee, and **within** thirty days of receiving each item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement, and includes the Statement under 37 CFR 1.704(d) (see "Statement under 37 CFR 1.704(d)" below), and the fee of \$180 as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) (see "Fees" below).

NOTE: This is for original applications except applications for a design patent, filed on or after May 29, 2000, wherein a paper containing only an Information Disclosure Statement in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 is being filed.

Copies of the References

- ☒ Copies of all of the references listed on the enclosed Form 1449 are enclosed herewith.
- ☒ Copies of U.S. patents and patent applications that are listed on the accompanying Form 1449 are not enclosed herewith. Copies of other references identified on the accompanying Form 1449 are enclosed herewith.

In re Appln. of SWANDER et al.
Application No. 10/056,889

- ☐ Attached to each reference not in the English language is a concise explanation of the relevance pursuant to 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3). An English-language equivalent/patent, or an English-language abstract, or an English-language version of the search report or action by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application indicating the degree of relevance found by the foreign office is being submitted in lieu of a concise explanation of the relevance pursuant to 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).
- ☒ A copy of the foreign search report is enclosed herewith.
- ☐ The references listed on the enclosed Form 1449 were previously identified in the parent application(s) of the present application, and copies of the references were furnished at that time. Accordingly, additional copies of the references are not submitted herewith, so as not to burden the file with duplicate copies of references. The Examiner is respectfully requested to carefully review the references in accordance with the requirements set out in the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.98(d), the details of the parent application(s) relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 USC 120 in which copies of the references were previously furnished are set out below:

U.S. APPLICATIONS		STATUS (CHECK ONE)		
U.S. APPLICATIONS	U.S. FILING DATE	PATENTED	PENDING	ABANDONED
1. 0 /				
2. 0 /				
3. 0 /				

Statement under § 1.97(e)

- ☒ The **undersigned** hereby states that each item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign patent application not more than three months prior to the filing of the Information Disclosure Statement.
- ☐ The **undersigned** hereby states that no item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign patent application, and, to the knowledge of the undersigned after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement was known to any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the Information Disclosure Statement.

In re Appln. of SWANDER et al.
Application No. 10/056,889

Statement under § 1.704(d)

- ☐ The **undersigned** hereby states that no item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and that this communication was not received by any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of the Information Disclosure Statement.

Fees

- ☒ No fee is owed by the applicant(s).
☐ The **IDS Fee of \$180** under § 1.17(p) is enclosed herewith.

Method of Payment of Fees

- ☐ Attached is a check in the amount of \$180.00.
☐ Charge Deposit Account No. 12-1216 in the amount of \$180.00. (A duplicate copy of this communication is enclosed for that purpose.)

Authorization to Charge Additional Fees

- ☒ If any additional fees are owed in connection with this communication, please charge Deposit Account No. 12-1216. (A duplicate copy of this communication is enclosed for that purpose.)

Instructions as to Overpayment

- ☒ Credit Account No. 12-1216.
☐ Refund.

Respectfully submitted,



David M. Airan, Registration No. 38,811

LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.

Two Prudential Plaza, Suite 4900

180 North Stetson

Chicago, Illinois 60601-6780

(312) 616-5600 (telephone)

(312) 616-5700 (facsimile)

Date: May 11, 2005

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box →



PTO/SB/08A/B (10-96)
Approved for use through 10/31/99. OMB 0651-0031
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Substitute for form 1449A/B/PTO

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

(Use as many sheets as necessary)

Complete if Known

Application Number	10/056,889
Filing Date	January 25, 2002
First Named Inventor	SWANDER, Brian
Group Art Unit	2137
Examiner Name	CALDWELL, Andrew T.
Attorney Docket Number	215245

Sheet

1

of

1

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials ¹	Cite No.	U.S. Patent Document		Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Class	Subclass	Filing Date if Appropriate
		Patent Number	Kind Code					

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials ¹	Cite No.	Foreign Patent Document			Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Date of Publication of Cited Document MM-DD-YYYY	Class	Subclass	Translation	
		Office	Number	Kind Code					Yes	No*

OTHER - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initials ¹	Cite No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number (s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Translation	
			Yes	No*
	AI	HARKINS et al., "The Internet Key Exchange (IKE) Protocol," <i>IPSEC Working Group Internet-Draft</i> (November 2001).		
	AJ	IPSEC, "Minutes of IPSEC Working Group Meeting," <i>Proceedings of the 52nd Internet Engineering Task Force. December 9-14, 2001, Salt Lake City, UT, U.S.A.</i> (December 12, 2001). Retrieved from the internet on April 13, 2005: http://www.ietf.org/proceedings/01dec/195.htm		
	AK	KENT et al., "Fragmentation Considered Harmful," <i>Computer Communication Review</i> (Association for Computer Machinery), 25 (1), 75-87 (January 1995).		
	AL	MOGUL et al., "Path MTU Discovery," <i>Internet Engineering Task Force, Network Working Group, Request for Comments: 1191</i> , (November 1990). Also available on the internet: http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1191.txt		

* A concise statement of relevance is being submitted in lieu of a translation. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).

+ An English-language equivalent/patent, or an English-language abstract, or an English-language version of the search report or action by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application indicating the degree of relevance found by the foreign office is being submitted in lieu of a concise explanation of relevance under 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).

Examiner SigNat.		Date Considered	
------------------	--	-----------------	--

¹EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.